# INDEX

OF

# PRINCIPAL MATTERS.

ADMINISTRATOR.  1 Does not become personally liable, by announcing to a creditor that the property of the estate has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Believen after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.	ADATEMENT.	*
ADMINISTRATOR.  1 Does not become personally liable, by announcing to a creditor that the property of the estate has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Believen after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	The pendency of a suit in another state, cannot	•
ADMINISTRATOR.  1 Does not become personally liable, by announcing to a creditor that the property of the estate has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Riven after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	be pleaded in abatement. Stone & al. vs.	
1 Does not become personally liable, by announcing to a creditor that the property of the estate has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Been after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	Vincent,	517
cing to a creditor that the property of the estate has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Even after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	ADMINISTRATOR.	
has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Even after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	1 Does not become personally liable, by announ-	
the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.  Patterson, 604  Even after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case, id.  AGENT.  May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics, 134  But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	cing to a creditor that the property of the estate	
Patterson,  Byen after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case,  AGENT.  May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics,  But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,  id.	has been sold, and his debt shall be paid when	
Byen after the proceeds of the sale are collected.  Same case,  AGENT.  May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics,  But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	the money is collected. Talmage & al. vs.	
AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics,  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	Patterson,	604
AGENT.  1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics,  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	Even after the proceeds of the sale are collected.	
1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal errors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics,  2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	Same case,	id.
rors in a former one. Livermore vs. Morgan's syndics,  But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	AGENT.	. 2
gan's syndics,  But not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	1 May, by a subsequent deed, correct verbal er-	
PBut not, on pretence of doing so, convey property not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case,	rors in a former one. Livermore vs. Mor-	
perty not contemplated in the former deed.  Same case, id.	gan's syndics,	134
Same case, id.	2 But not, on pretence of doing so, convey pro-	
	perty not contemplated in the former deed.	
	Same case,	id,

3. When, in a sale made by an agent, the vendee has	
been in possession for twenty years, the au-	-
thorsty of the former will be presumed. Bour-	
guignon vs. Boudousquie, 15	8
4. He must prove facts discharging him from res-	
ponsibility in the collection of a debt. Collins	
& al. vs. Andrews, - 19	1
s. When a power to sell is given, the expression	•
of the principal's opinion, that the property	
ought to bring a certain price, will not invali-	
date a sale for less. Sprigg's heirs vs. Her-	
man, 51	0
and the second s	
APPEAL.	
No relief can be had in the supreme court, on	
the imposition of a fine of fifty dollars. Mil-	
	24
2 When a new trial was refused below, the case is	
remanded, if the supreme court does not con-	
cur in the decision. M'Donogh vs. De Armas	
& al	14
3 When a case presents no question of law, the	
supreme court does not disturb a verdict, un-	
less manifestly erroneous. Latorre vs. For-	
	71
4 A party who is neither appellant or appellee can-	
not, have the judgment altered. Bernardine	
vs. L'Espinasse,	94
5 The clerk's certificate that the record contains	
the whole transcript, and a copy of all docu-	
ments, does not cnable the court to examine	
the case on its merits. Ditto vs. Barton, 1	27

the record is not in a state to enable the court to examine the merits of the case. Pugh vs.  Erwin,  The appellant cannot dismiss his appeal, when the record is brought by the appellee. Same case,  When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is sent back. Herman vs. Smith,
Erwin,  7 The appellant cannot dismiss his appeal, when the record is brought by the appellee. Same case,  8 When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is
<ul> <li>7 The appellant cannot dismiss his appeal, when the record is brought by the appellee. Same case,</li> <li>8 When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7 The appellant cannot dismiss his appeal, when the record is brought by the appellee. Same case,</li> <li>When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is</li> </ul>
the record is brought by the appellee. Same case,  When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is
**Case, ***  When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is
8 When the appellant was not a party below, and his right to appeal is contested, the case is
his right to appeal is contested, the case is
No appeal lies from the allowance of exceptions
to interrogatories. Landry vs. Broussart, 204
10 Nor from an order to answer interrogatories.
M Donogh vs. Rogers, 212
11 The judge a quo must order the execution of
the decree of the supreme court, as he under-
stands it. Holstein vs. Henderson, . 271
12 But if he err, the court will interfere. Same
case, · · · · id.
13 A mistake in the sum for which the judgment
appealed from was rendered, is fatal. Martin
vs. Rutherford & al 281
14 If the interest on which the right to appeal is
claimed, be denied, the case must be reman-
ded. Oakley vs. Philips, - 306
15 The appeal will be dismissed, if granted with-
out fixing the amount of the bond and the re-
turn day. Barker vs. Voorhies, - 315
16 So, if the bond be not payable to the appellee.
Scrivener vs. Maxey's heirs, - 317
17 The supreme court cannot examine a case in

which an appeal has not been prayed for and	3
granted. Hughes vs. Hooke's heirs,	328
78 The appellee cannot claim interest, in the su-	
preme court, without having prayed that the	
judgment may be amended in his favour.	
	344
19 The defendant waves not his right of appeal by	
renouncing a new trial, consenting that judg	
ment be signed on the verdict, and that the	
record should consist of the evidence given at	
the trial. Ford vs. Miles,	371
20 If the case be tried out of the regular time, and	
without evidence, the party cannot be relieved	
on a certiorari, but has a right to his appeal.	
	381
\$1 When there is no statement of facts, &c. the	
judgment is not disturbed, if any duly admit-	
ted evidence would support it. Mitchel & al.	
	400
22 The jurisdiction of the judge ad quem depends	
on the judgment being given by the judge a	
quo, and is not affected by the causes which	
produced the judgment. Wooter vs. Turner,	449
23 The supreme court cannot give a judgment	
which the inferior court could not have given.	
	456
24 A case will not be remanded, because irrelevant	
testimony was admitted. Thompson vs.	
	158
25 An appeal suspends proceedings before the	.00
	163
Tarant Janes, Frenchis Is, Chour	-

28 Whether appeals or writs or error by the U.S.	1
do not suspend execution in every case ?	
Same case,	id.
27 Pending the appeal from the homologation of	
the tableau, no creditor may compel payment,	
Dreux vs. his creditors,	502
28 The supreme court will not, without particular	736
grounds, disturb a verdict. Vialet vs. La-	
lande,	530
29 The third possessor may appeal from a judg-	
ment against the mortgagor. Planters' Bank	
vs. Proctor.	531
30 When the only question is the weight of evi-	
dence, the verdict prevails in the supreme	
court. Moore vs. Stokes,	538
31 A party, who did not appeal, cannot be heard	
above. Plauche & al. vs. Gravier & al.	597
32 A case will be remanded for new evidence,	00.
when justice requires it. Millaudon vs.	
Smith.	803
33 When, from the time and manner of trying a	•••
case, a party has not had the opportunity of	
being heard, it will be remanded. Barrow	
vs. Stewart,	635
34 Nothing can be assigned as not apparent on the	
face of the record, that depends on the facts	
of the case. Caldwell vs. Townsend & al.	
35 A case remanded, on error in the finding of the	
jury. Clamageran vs. Sacerdotte, -	64 <b>Y</b>
36 No appeal lies from the refusal to transfer a	
suit to the federal court. Higgins & al, vs.	
M'Micken,	712

# ASSIGNMENT.

1 A judgment against the assignee of part of a debt
forms no res judicata against the original
creditor. Ganiot vs. Havard, - 290
2 If the assignee neglect to give notice, his right
will be destroyed by payment to the assignees.
Styles vs. M'Neil's heirs, 296
ATTACHMENT.
4 On a suit on the bond, evidence of the deterio-
ration of the goods cannot be given, without
its having been pleaded. Ponsony vs. De-
baillon & al 231
2 The plaintiff must allege and prove the injury he
has sustained from the breach of the condition.
Same case, · · · · id
3 When he claims damages beyond the penalty,
the defendant may prove facts inducing a belief
that the goods were about to be removed.
Same case, id
4 The plaintiff may prove the facts which induced
the belief that the defendant was about to
leave the state. Same case, id
5 And whatever shews his intention to remove.
Same case, - id
6 An action of slander cannot be commenced by
process of attachment. Baune vs. Thompson, 566
7 If the surety on an attachment bond be wanted
as a witness for the plaintiff, another may be
substituted. Vance vs. Martin & al. 678

ATTO	-	
ATTE		
		-

- Accepting a debt, in lieu of another, which he is employed to collect, is immediately liable to his client, Woodrowvs. Hennen, . . . 158 His claim for professional services is barred by the lapse of three years, after they are rendered. Howe's heirs vs. Brent, 3 He is responsible for malicious words spoken at the trial, Stackpole vs. Hennen, 481 4 But not for statements pertinent to the cause, and furnished by his client. Same case, id. 5 If the client be present he will be presumed to have authorised the statement. Same case, id. 6 When he receives notes from a debtor of his
- client, as collateral security, and promises to sue for them, he acts as agent of the debtor. O'Conner vs. Bernard,

#### AUCTION.

A bidder for, and in the name of, another, cannot take the bid for himself. Dacquin vs. Coiron & al.

#### AWARD.

If the submission be to one, under the hands and seals of the arbitrators, the sealing is of the essence of the award. Bell vs. James,

#### BAIL.

Although the plaintiff be permitted to perfect an irregular service, the bail may avail himself of its irregularity, M'Caleb vs. Maxwell,

### BAILMENT.

A	bailment of cotton to gin is for the mutual be: 16
	nefit of the parties, and the bailee is bound
	only to the care which prudent men take of
	their own concerns. Broussard vs. Declouet, 255

### BILL OF EXCHANGE.

If	the	plaintiff h	as parted	with h	is inte	rest t	here-	(h)
	in,	and gives	no other	evider	ce of	right,	but	
	pos	session, he	will be r	onsuit	ed.	Dick &	& al.	
	170	Cab & a	1		_			4

#### ROND

1	A surety in a sequestration bond is not entitled	
	to the plea of discussion. Pennyman vs.	
	Barremore,	494
2	A bond may be shewn to have been delivered on	
	another day than that of its date. Same	
	case, · · ·	id.
3	A bond for fuorteen hundred and ten will be	
	taken for fourteen hundred and ten dollars.	
	Same case,	id
4	A bond for a writ of error in the federal, is sua-	
	ble in the state courts. Saunders vs. Taylor,	519
5	The penalty cannot be exacted until the obligee	
	be put in more according to law. Llorente	
	vs. Gaitrie.	<b>62</b> 3

# CODE OF PRACTICE.

Was received in	the par	ish of Ra	pides	on th	e <b>3d</b>	
of October,	1825.	Wilson	and	wife	VS.	
Baillio & a	1	*	a* .			285

# COLLATION.

1 A child must collate the value of the labour of
of slaves lent him, thereby to purchase others.
Hamilton vs. Hamilton & al 145
But not that of one sent to wait on him. Same
ease,
CONGRESS.
Members of, enjoy no privilege in civil suits, but exemption from arrest. Ramsay vs.
Livingston, 10
CONSTITUTION,
1 A law, posterior to a contract, exempting all a
party's property from seizure on execution,
would be unconstitutional. Sabatier & al.
vs. their creditors, 585
2 So, if it exempted part of it only, and the re-
mainder was insufficient. Same case, • id.
CONTRACT.
1 Although it be stipulated that abuilding is to be
delivered after being approved by experts, if
it be received without, payment cannot be
withheld on the ground that the approval did
not take place. Fox vs. Avart, - 72
2 A party, in whose name a contract is made, is
not bound without his ratification. Lacroix
vs. Menard, : - 98
3 The obligations of a contract are those resulting
from it at the time it is entered into. Sabatier
& al. vs. their creditors, - 588 Vol. VI. N. S. 92

CURATOR.	
1 One may be appointed to a person absent in the	Mi.
public service. Ramsay vs. Livingston,	1
2 He cannot have credit for higher than legal fees.	
Arsenaux vs. Mitchel,	69
3 And must suffer from the neglect of those he	
employs in collecting debts of the estate.	
Same case,	id
the state of the s	ž.
DATE	
? The want of one does not avoid an instrument.	
Barfield vs. Hewlett,	7
3 A private act has no effect, as to third parties,	
until its date be established by something	
dehors the act. Thomas vs. Callihan's heirs,	32
The second second second second second	
DAMAGES.	i
If the carrier fails in delivering the goods, the	
measure of damages is their full value. Boyle	
	10
2 Damages cannot be recovered on a contract to	
deliver slaves until the party has been put in	
	22
3 And this must be by writing, suit, protest or	
demand before two witnesses. Hagan & al.	
	54
4. In trespass for cutting timber, the measure of	
damages is its value. Leblanc & al. vs. Via-	
	25
Damages, growing out of proceedings originat-	-

ing in the same demand, may be the subject of reconvention, Boyle vs. Warfield,

### DONATION.

In a, by contract of marriage, to one of the par-	6
ties, his posterity not proceeding from the	*
marriage, cannot take. Doucet vs. Brous-	2
3 3 sart & al 196	
2 If land be given, on condition the public build-	
ings of the parish be put on it, it will revert if	-
they are directed to be put elsewhere. Police	
jury vs. Reeves,	
3 But the parish may remove any already erected.	
Same case, - id	
4 Every act in which the word donation is used, is	
not necessarily a donation. Delahoussaye vs.	
Judice, 25	
THE AND COMPANIES OF THE STREET	
EVIDENCE.	
1 Cannot be received by parol, of a promise to pay	
conventional interest. Kenner's syndics vs.	
Sims, 66	,
2 He who, without objection, suffers evidence, not	
on a fact at issue, to go to the jury, is bound	
by their conclusion. M'Micken vs. Brown, 85	
3 The collateral heir must administer evidence	
that the heirs in the ascending line, have	
ceased to exist. Bernardine vs. PEspinasse, 94	
4 Evidence that a debt was collected, supports an	
allegation that the party had power to collect	
it. Woodrow vs. Hennen, 156	
5 A copy from the register's office, in another	
state, does not dispense with the production	
of the original. Coleman & al. vs. Brand, 207	
6. The declaration of the party when part of the	

res geste, may be given in evidence. Ponsony
vs. Debaillon & al
7 Parol evidence cannot be received of a practice
of Spanish surveyors to exclude swamps from
grants of land in the Attakapas. Leblanc &
& al. vs. Viator & al
8 The assignment of a judgment, written on the
copy of the record, must be proven as a sous
setng prive. Griffith vs. Towles, - 261
9 The court is to judge of the admissibility of evi-
dence, and cannot relieve itself from respon-
sability, by leaving it to the jury. Davis's
heirs vs. 'Prevost's,
10 A jailor's certificate is no legal evidence of a
prisoner's death. Gill vs. Philips & al. 298
11 Fraud not being at issue, parol evidence can-
not be given of a promise to sell a slave.
Same case,
12 An account referred to in a deed of partition is
evidence against the heirs. Same case, id
13 On a plea that the injunction was improperly
issued by the judge of another district, evi-
dence cannot be received of the slave having
been illegally imported. Wells vs. Hunter, 311
14 Plats of survey, unless issuing with the title
or assented to, make no evidence per se.
Milligan's heirs vs. Hargrove, - 337
15 A party may use as evidence any document
filed in the cause. Hunter vs. Smith, 351
16 The hand-writing of a clerk, who is dead, in his
employer's books, may be proven. Same case, id

17 A party who has taken no step to bring in a
witness, cannot read his testimony in another
cause. Same case, id.
18 Every interested person may demand the pro-
duction of a document in the hands of a third
party. Gardere vs. Fisk, 387
19 And is bound to do so, before he gives evidence
of its contents. Same case, id.
20 A party may avail himself of the incorrectness
of the sheriff's declaration, and of his neglect
to produce the parish judge's certificate, with-
out having pleaded it. Same case, id.
21 An incomplete instrument, which has not the
signature of the parties, is inadmissable evi-
dence, Dick & al. vs. Maxwell, - 397
22 Evidence, legal in itself, cannot be rejected,
because something more may be necessary to
prove the facts. Hawkins vs. Van Winkle, 418
23 The order of a court of probates, appointing a
curator, is evidence of the appointment against
all persons. Thompson vs. Chauveau & al. 459
24 When an instrument is legal evidence of a fact,
but not of another, the trial being before the
court, it must be read, and the party is after-
wards to make his objections. Same case, id.
25 Other words than those laid in the petition, and
spoken at another time, may be given in evi-
dence to shew malice, Kendrick vs. Kemp, 500
26 Evidence may not be given to shew that some
time before the inception of the suit, the peo-
ple of the parish were in the habit of speaking

of the plaintiff in opprobrious language. Same	4
case,	id.
In a petitory action, where fraud is not alleged,	. 19
parol evidence cannot be given that a deed	T
was dated on another day than it purports.	177
Sprigg's ex'rs. vs. Hennen,	
26 A confession cannot be divided; but its effect	er e
may be weakened or destroyed by other evi-	2
dence. Quick vs. Johnson,	532
20 A delay of seven years in the demand, and the	
plaintiff having since filed his bilan, without	2 .5
notice of the claim, are a presumption of pay	
ment. Tremoulet vs. Cenas' heirs,	541
30 A plaintiff, from whom the delivery of a bill is	
drawn by an interrogatory, may prove its loss	
by the answer. Glasgow vs. Stevenson,	567
31 The copy of a plat certified by a surveyor not	
in possession of the original, is no legal evi-	
dence. Millaudon vs. Smith,	603
32 Foreign records must be proved according to	
the acts of Congress. Johnson vs. Ran-	
nels,	621
33 A grant, in the proper form, signed by an officer	
authorised to grant land under the Spanish	
government, is legal evidence to go to the	
jury. Gayle's heirs vs. Gray,	693
der stores have	
EXECUTOR.	43
1 Whether he can, by any act, defeat the testator's	
intention? Quere. Bernardine vs. L'Es-	
pinasse;	<b>53</b> 2

2 A grant of letters testamentary is an order that
the will be executed. Swift vs. Williams &
100
20 hrs petitoty sotion, where fresh is not alleged.
paroli evidence carragexe rea that a died
1 Unanimity is required, in their report on the
verity of a signature. Benfield vs. Hewlet, 78
2 They cannot act on one piece of comparison.
Same case, ve have tab to best to see ad gong td.
16d
20 At delet of seven years in the deniend, and the
1 Is the person who is such at the opening of the
succession. Doucet vs. Browsard & al. 198
2 Ascendants, beyond the first degree, are no lon-
ger forced heim, Johnson vs. Kirkland &
944
3 An heir is suable in the ordinary courts. Saun-
ders vs. Taylor,
1/3 LE of on a INSOLVENT. Describe of the
1 The syndics cannot admit in compensation a
debt of the insolvent, incurred since the
failure. Kenner's syndies vs. Sims, 60
3 Syndics must be sued personally in case of
malfeasance. Pimpinella vs. Lanuase's syn.
dies, 2 and ashire including a because 124
3 The judgment of homologation is res judicata
against all creditors on the bilan, Louis. In.
Co. vs. Campbell. 131
4 An insolvent does not acquire his discharge
when the creditors fail to appear. Dufan vs.
Massicel's heirs, 169
The second of th

5 Or, having made a charge of fraud, withdraws
it. Some case, id.
A promise, by the insolvent's father, to pay the
debt of a creditor, who threatens to prosecute
for fraud, and promises to procure a discharge
from the others, is without a consideration and
void. Perry vs. Frilot, 218
7 Any legal evidence may be offered to support
the confession of a debt by the insolvent.
Sabatier & al. vs. their creditors, 588
8 The tableau may be opposed as long as the
judgment of homologation is not signed.
Avart vs. his creditors, 652
9 The insolvent's books are evidence against him.
Marmiche vs. Commagere & al. 657
- Jack Cristia Sear of a Mora standard C
INSURANCE.
1 Under a warranty against seizure for illicit
trade, the insurers are not protected against
illegal seizure and detention. Cucullu vs.
Orl. Ins. Co.
If there be a breach of warranty, the assurers
are discharged, even when the breach was
not the cause of condemnation. Goicoches vs.
Louis, St. Ins. Co. 51
3 Warranties are affirmative and promissory. Same
case, id.
4 And in the nature of conditions precedent.
Same case, id.
5 The written controls the printed part of a policy.
Same case, id.

But every part of a policy must be construed
together. Same case,
When there is a written declaration that the
goods belong to individuals of a nation at
war with that of the port to which the ship is
bound, and there is also a warranty against losses arising from illicit trade, the assurers
are not liable for a loss of the latter kind,
Same case.
A sentence of a court of admiralty, appealed
from, neither proves or falsifies the warranty.
Zino vs. Louis. Ins. Co.
In the meanwhile, the suit on the policy may
be continued for a reasonable time, Same
case,
10 Demand of payment for a total loss amounts
to an abandonment, Cassedy vs. Louis, St.
Ins. Co. 421
11 Whether the demand was in proper time,
is a question for the jury. Mellon vs. Louis.
St. Ins. Co. 424
12 An abandonment rightfully made, reverts back
to the time of the loss. Clamageran & al.
vs. Banks & al
13 The contract of insurance is to be construed
according to the laws of the country where it
is made. Shiff vs. Louis, St. Ins. Co. 628
14 Casual and inevitable losses are not subjects of
general average. Same case,
INTEREST.

Vol. VI. N. 3 92

en proof that it is customary there, without	
shewing it is legal also. Glasgow vs. Ste-	
phenson,	501
3 Not allowed from the date of the protest. O'Con-	
ner vs. Bernard,	572
3 Not allowed on an open account due in another	
state, without evidence of its being legal	
there. Ory vs. Winter,	606
JOINT-TENANT.	,
Not opposing useful improvements made by the	
owner managing, and the estate liable there-	
for. Percy vs. Millaudon,	616
JURY.	
Free persons of color are entitled to a. Bore	
vs. Bush & al.	1
2 And cannot be tried by a justice of the peace	
for an offence. Same case,	id.
3 The issue fraus vel non is peculiarly of the	
province of the jury. Abat vs. Segura,	237
4 A jury may be prayed for in an amended answer.	*
Davis's heirs vs. Prevost,	565
3 But it is in the discretion of the court to allow	
it to be filed. Same case,	id.
6 It ought to be allowed, if the defendants object	
be not delay, but really to have a jury. Same	6
case,	ið.
7 A jury is not bound to say a debt is paid, be-	
cause a witness swears he believes it is.	
O'Connel vs. Bernard,	572
	,

#### JUSTICE OF THE PEACE.

Acting out of his jurisdiction, derives no protection from his office. Bore vs. Bush & al., A. See Juny 2.

#### LAND.

1	Location gives no force to a claim in opposition	4
	to another stronger by its age and locality,	
	Latiolais vs. Richard,	213
2	Vacant lands, under the Spanish government,	

did not generally pass without a grant, althout they did in certain cases. Leblanc & al. vs.

Viator & al.

3 A sale, by Indians, of land assigned them, did not disable them from acquiring a right to the soil in land to which they removed. Spencer's heirs vs. Grimball,

4 None can take advantage of informality in a sale by them, but themselves, when the price was paid and possession delivered. Same case, i

More than a square league may be recovered on a claim, before the commissioners, grounded on several on the former government. Some case,

iđ.

Whether land was the proper subject of a grant, or political circumstances prevented a title from passing, are questions on the effect, not the legality of the grant. Gayle's heirs vs.

Gray,

693

7 On a sale of so many arpents in front, with the resual depth, the side lines will be taken to be

parallel, unless some expressions in the deck

shew the contrary. Bourguignon vs. Bou-	1 1
dousquie,	est :
and the second section of the second	
LOUISIANA CODE.	
1 Its provisions cannot affect contracts made be-	8
fore its promulgation. Duncan's Ex'rs. vs.	
Hampton,	31
2 Same point. Brown vs. Thompson,	426
3 The omission in it of part of the old, does not	1
repeal it. Flower & al. vs. Grifith,	89
MINOR	*
A mother has no right to purchase property for	2
her minor child. Sarapure vs. Debuy?,	17
2 A minor can neither alienate or purchase pro-	
perty, without the authority of justice. Same	
case,	id.
8 But on his coming of age, he may ratify a pur-	
chase or alienation made for him during his	
minority. Same case,	id.
4 The law of the domicil of origin govern the state	
and condition of the minor, in whatever	
county he removes. Barrera vs. Alpuente,	
So a native of Louisiana will be of age at 21,	
although early removed to Havana, where 25	
is the age of majority. Same case,	ide
8 A contract by which the tutor agrees to pay in-	
terest on being allowed time for a debt of the	
minor, is not void. Collins & al. vs. An-	
drows,	198

And will be supported, if not injurious to the	
minor. Same case, id.	
8 A tutor rendering his accounts, is entitled to a	
reasonable time to answer objections, Baillio	
& al. vs. Wilson & wife, 334	
The grand-father has a right to the tutorship,	
after the father and mother, without being re-	
commended by the family meeting. Comaux	
vs. Barbin, 454	
10 The meeting are to approve of his sureties, and	
the under tutor must be present at it. Same	
cuse, id.	1
\$1 The co-heirs of a minor might, under the for-	
mer laws, be members of the family meeting.	
heirs vs. Baron, 65	9
MORTGAGE.	
4 The mortgagee is not bound to proceed against	
the property last sold, when it was not affected	
by his lien. Lanusse vs. Lanna, 103	1
8 A wife who, before 1817, renounced her mort-	
gage on property sold, did not lose her right	
in other previously sold. Same case, id	
3 A wife has no mortgage for the fruits of her para-	
phernal estate. Same case, id	
4 Nor on the estate of her husband's executor.	
Same case, id	-
6 A mortgage cannot be enforced on the improve-	
ments made by a third purchaser. Same case, id	
¶ The proper mode of ascertaining their value is	
to have them estimated after the sale. Sumo	
ense, id	

ern cold the read

An act passed in a parish judge's office require	
no further registry to give a mortgage. Ma	r-
tel & al. vs. Tureaud,	111
& A third possessor cannot be called on until 3	0
days after the demand on the mortgago	r. ) &
Broussard vs. Philips,	309
9 The copy of a copy of an act of mortgage do	28
not authorise the issue of a writ of seizure	). I
Poydras vs. Hiriart,	408
10 Even when it makes part of the record of a su	it
in which the third possessor intervened, if h	е
did so to be relieved against a sequestration	1.
Same case,	id.
11 The wife, by renouncing her mortgage on som	
property sold by her husband, does not re	
nounce that on other previously sold. Dreu	
vs. his creditors,	502
72 The endorsee of a note secured by mortgage	
cannot have a writ of seizure out of court	
Planters' Bank vs. Proctor,	531
13 A creditor by judgment obtained since the re	
peal of the act of 1818, cannot resist th	
wife's claim to priority, because her contract	
is unrecorded. Bayon vs. Bayon,	548
14 When the consideration of a note to bearer	
and the right of the holder, are at issue, he	
must shew he came by it bona fide. Bower vs. Viel,	565
15 A mortgaged square may be sold by lots. Plan	u- <b>597</b>
the of at. vs. Granter of at.	-51

PRINCIPAL MATTERS.
16 A copy of the mortgage, not made by the proper
officer, will not suffice in an action against the
third possessor under the old code. Poydras
vs. Hiriart, 650
NEW-ORLEANS.
▲ farmer of the corporation may demand a dimi-
nution, if the ordinances are not enforced,
and he is injured thereby. Mayor & al. vs.
Peyroux, 155
OPELOUSAS STEAM-BOAT COMPANY.
1 Cannot prevent other steam-boats from landing

1	Cannot prevent other steam-boats from landing	
	passengers at one of the termini of their ferry.	
	State & al. vs. Wilson,	178
2	Unless they have been taken at the other, or	
	between the two. Same case,	id

#### OVERSEER

Is	not a	domestic,	in the	meaning	of the	code.	1.
	M' Nu	tt vs. Boy	ce,		23-	., .	308

### PARISH JUDGE,

It	is not e	esse	ssential he		should state		in an act he is			is		
	notary	ex	officio.	Doucet		vs. B		ro	roussard &		&	
	al.				ā							

### PARTNERSHIP.

1	May	be	infer	red	from	circums	tances.	Denis-	
	to	in a	& al.	VS.	Debuys	& al.	,		

3	A	partn	er ha	s no	action	agan	nst h	is cop	artner,
	100	for m	oney	laid	out for	the	parti	ership	, until
		after :	final	settl	em <b>en</b> t,	Fox	VS.	S.B.	Fort-
		Adan	as die	nane	en.			-	



MICHIGAN.	
3 Even when the claim is settled and acknowledged acknowledged and acknowledged	leged.
Same case,	id.
4 In a suit to ascertain the share of a partner	r, all
must be parties. Dufau vs. Massicot's h	eirs, 182
5 After a partner's death, the affairs of th	e firm
may be carried on for the benefit of his	estate
and the surviving partner. Ganiot vs. Ho	lvdrd, 290
The vendee of partnership stock cannot	sue a
. partner for charges against him on the b	ooks.
Leeds vs. Holmes,	658
PERJURY.	
i A charge of, in the course of judicial pro	ceed-
ings, does not support an action of sla	nder.
Wamdek vs. Kemp,	474
PRACTICE.	
1 A plaintiff has a right to put his case to the	jury.
Bore vs. Bush & al.	- 0
2 And he cannot be nonsuited by the court	, con-
trary to his will. Same case,	$id_i$
(See JUSTICE OF THE PEACE, 1-JUI	
3 When a judgment in a criminal action	
foundation of a civil one, it may be give	
evidence therein. Parish of Orlean	s -vs;
Morgan,	. 8
A Every variance in point of time, between	n the
allegation and the proof, is not fatal.	Bus-
quoi vs. Hampton,	
A clause in a contract, that every difference	aris
ing under it shall be settled by arbitra	t ion,

cannot be urged at the trial, without having	
been pleaded. Same case,	id.
The provisions of the code of practice, requir-	30
ing the judge to fix the amount of the seques-	
tration bond, and the sheriff to return it, are	NIN!
directory only. Vauter vs. Morgan,	46
7 They authorise no recourse against the sheriff by	Harm
a plaintiff who is not injured. Same case,	id.
A party arrested, on his being about to depart,	200
for a debt not yet payable, may be discharged	Series a
on proof that he leaves property behind suffi-	
cient to pay it, without proving he leaves	
enough to pay all his debts. Caraby vs. Da-	
vis,	163
9 Final judgment cannot be proceeded to, without	10
an answer or judgment by default. Miles vs.	
Oden & al.	211
10 The general issue is a waver of the plea of	
payment. Judice's heirs vs. Brent,	225
11 And vice versa. M'Micken vs. Brent,	249
12 To put the defendant in mora is a condition	W, 11
precedent to the recovery of damages for the	
non-delivery of slaves, and advantage may be	
taken of this, without pleading it. Erwin vs.	100
Fenwick,	239
18 A judgment for the plaintiff cannot avail him	
as res judicata, against an anterior claim of	
the defendant, not pleaded in compensation.	
Delahoussaye vs. Judice,	
14 When it is doubtful whether the notice to file	. 34
interrogatories was given, the deposition	2 /

ought to be rejected. Gill vs. Philips &	298
ut.	
his adversary's interrogatories be answered.	1
	319
16 A judgment of nonsuit forms no res judicata.	
	329
	11
ground for a continuance. Baillio & al.	11 1
	334
18 A court cannot give judgment on a petition	004
addressed to another. Watson & al. vs.	
	416
19 Nor permit it to be amended. Same case,	id.
20 There can be no nonsuit after a general verdict.	***
	4 - 0
	456
21 An officer sued as a trespasser, on a sale on a	
f. fa, may bring in the plaintiff who gave him	- 1
a bond of indemnity. Thompson vs. Chau-	
	458
22 A clause authorising any attorney to confess	, 1
judgment, cannot support a writ of seizure and sale. Oldham vs. Polk,	400
23 The last residence of a man, who has left the	468
state, is not the house to which the family he	
lived with, has removed since. Zacharie vs.	1
Richards,	467
The second secon	407
24 A judgment without reasons, is not void, but voidable. Legendre vs. M. Donogh,	513
	013
25 If the petition contains sufficient facts to sup-	
port the action, a continuance is improperly	. "

denied to a plaintiff, who swears the absent	1
witnessess will prove all the facts alleged, on	
account of their irrelevancy. Winter vs.	
Donaldsonville,	534
66 District and parish courts are not without juris-	
diction. ratione materiæ, in suits against tu-	
tors, executors, curators, &c. Foucher vs.	· i / · ·
Caraby & al.	548
7 Reconvention may be by a supplemental peti-	
tion, if the defendant set up a reconventional	
demand larger than the original. Parker vs.	
Starkweather,	600
28 In an hypothecary action, it is sufficient to al-	3-
lege possession in the defendant. Walker	2
vs. Dunbar,	627
29 The burden of the proof lies on him who affirms.	19.0
Reardon vs. Zacharie,	644
30 The names of subsequent endorsers, need not	No file
be set out in an action against the maker.	4
Abat vs. Tournillon,	648
31 The counsel of the intervening party has no	1 8
right to open the cause. Marmiche vs. Com-	
magere & al.	657
32 A creditor who has compelled his debtor to	10
give security before the debt was payable,	
must afterwards proceed in the ordinary way.	4.5
Overton vs. Gervais,	485
83 He who reconvenes is a plaintiff, and a finding	3
in his favour without limitation, is viewed as	
embracing his whole claim. Orleans' Nav.	*
the state of the s	

# PREVOIDE X OF OVERE

34 A party, interrogated whether he did not agree 100

to erect a mill for the defendants, may answer	
he agreed to build one in partnership with	5.00
him, but the defendant neglecting to comply se	
with his part of the contract, they agreed to	6
rescind it. Nichols vs. Pierce, 70	è
35 The imputation of a payment is to the most	-
onerous debt. Abadie vs. Poydras,	6
36 The debt first contracted is considered as more	
ancient than the one first payable. Lanuese	5-9
vs. Lanna,	
years to a homeotical top and entire in their	
PRESCRIPTION OF BEING ALL	
I Is interrupted by the service of the citation, but	
not by the filing of the petition. Bonnet &	
& al. vs. Ramsay, 19	9
2 Cannot be invoked by a possessor, who has not	
complied with the conditions under which he	
entered. Arsine's heirs vs. Harrison and	
wife, 32	5
3 A right of pre-emption does not enable to pre-	
scribe before the purchase. Milligan's heirs	
vs. Hargrove,	Į
4 Rent is prescribed by five years. Mayor & al.	
ys. Hennen, 42	S
8 A sheriff who has received money on an execu-	
tion, cannot invoke the prescription of five	
years. Tremoulet vs. Cenas' heirs. 54	1
an action against a sheriff for taking an insuffi-	
cient bond, is prescribed by one year. Semple	
& al. vs. Buhler	_

	100
7 So, that for an illegal return. Fisk vs. Browder	
of al.	691
8 In the latter case, the prescription runs from the	
return. Balfour vs. Browder,	708
PRIVILEGE.	
1. Workmen and material men, when employed by	
the undertaker, have no privilege of their own.	(NO
Nolte & al. vs. their creditors,	168
2 But may avail themselves of those of the under-	7 .
taker. Same case,	id.
3 And their claims are not postponed to the pay-	
ment of advances not stipulated. Same case,	id.
4 Neither of them acquires a preference over others	
hy seizure. Same case,	id.
5 A builder, whose contract is not recorded, is en-	
titled to no privilege. Odie vs. his creditors,	473
6 Even if he obtains judgment, if it be not record-	6
ed before the cession. Same case,	id.
7 The privilege resulting from the contract of de-	
posit, is unaffected by the new code. Saba-	
tier & al. vs. their creditors,	586
8 A privilege on the property seized, will not war-	20
rant an injunction to stop the sale. Herbert's	
heirs vs. Babin & al.	615
9 The receipt of a draft in payment destroys the	
vendor's privilege. Abat vs. Nolte & al.'s	
syndics,	636

### PROMISSORY NOTE.

I If a transfer be written on the back of one, but

	not signed, it will be considered as incheste
	only, if the note remains in the possession of
	the transferee. Ramsay vs. Livingston, 1
	2 When the endorser is party to an instrument,
	for which the note was given, he cannot c'aim
	the benefit of the lex mercatoria. Martel &
	al. vs. Tureaud,
	3 When the note is annexed to and made part of
	the petition, there cannot be a variance be-
	tween the allegata and probata. Ditto vs.
	Bartow,
	4 The endorsee may bring in his endorser, when
	the signature of a prior endorser is denied.
	Lafonta vs. Poultz, 391
	5 The certificate of the notary who protested the
	note, is admissible evidence, although it does
•	not establish every fact necessary to support
	the plaintiff's claim, Dick & al. vs. Chew
	& al
	6 The certificate should state the office in which
	the notice was put. Pritchard vs. Hamil-
	ton, 450
	7 Notice at the post-office is insufficient, when
	the endorser lives within three miles of it.
	Louis. St. Bk. vs. Bonel, 500
	8 When one gives two endorsers, the first is liable
	to the second. Stone & al. vs. Vincent, 517
	See Mortgage, 14.
	to the second se

#### SALE

4 Judgment cannot be given against the vendos.

# PRINCIPAL MATLERS,

when the evidence does not show the value
of the land, independent of improvements,
was the price paid. Macarty vs. Fanchon, 116
2 The sale of a deceased person's property is made
with reference to the inventory and appraise-
ment. Hamilton vs. Hamilton & al. 144
3 The court of probates has power to decide on
sales of the real estate of a succession. Gill
vs. Philips & al. 298
4 The acknowledgment of the payment of the price
in a private act of sale, cannot be contested.
Baker vs. Voorhies, 312
5 If the vendee be not subrogated to his vendor's
right of warranty, he cannot resort thereto.
Davidson vs. Chabre's heirs, 317
6 Property fraudulently sold, by the defendant,
cannot be taken in execution until the sale be
set aside. Yocum vs. Bullit & al. 324
7 And the sale cannot be set aside, in a suit to
which the vendee is not a party. Same case, id.
8 On a sale of land, by the sheriff, for taxes, the
vendee must shew an assessment. Nancarrow
vs. Wheatherby, 347
A sale by a court of probates does not extinguish
the mortgage given by the vendor of the de-
ceased. Johnson vs. Bell & al. 384
10 The vendee who does not give to his vendor
notice of the claim of a third person, does not
thereby lose his recourse. Same case, id.
11 The purchaser of three lots, disturbed in one
of them, cannot suspend the payment of the
other two Diagon Warmen too

the A delivery is unnecessary, when the thing is	8
in the possession of the vendee, although in	
autre droit. Peet & al. vs. Morgan, 58	•
13 A sale, by a father to his minor son, the former	1
remaining in possession, will be presumed si-	
mulated. Beale vs. Delancy & al.	C
SHERIFF.	1.00
1 A legislative provision that, if the security be not good, and the sheriff proceeds to sell,	
he shall be liable in damages, does not re-	
peal other parts of the law, under which he	
was liable before. Peet & al. vs. Morgan, 58	ŧ
3 Whether two sheriffs may be sued together for	
illegal returns on different writs, in the same	
Buit. Fisk vs. Browder & al. 69	1
3 A purchaser at a sheriff's sale may avail himself	
of the incorrectness of the sheriff's declara-	
tions, and his neglect to produce the parish	
judge's certificate, without having pleaded it.	
Gardere vs. Fisk, 38	7
4 The sheriff is bound to seize property pointed out by the defendant. Miller vs. Morgan, 8	7
SHIP.	
1. A removal of the goods from the quay does not	
prevent a recovery for injury, really proven	
to have been received on board. Oakey & al.	
vs. Russel & al. 5	3
2 Portwardens are judges of the necessity of sell-	
ing damaged goods. Same case,	
200	

8 A lender on bottomry of respondentia is liable	
to contribution in general average. Chandler	1
& al. vs. Gravier,	599
SLAVE.	
1 Whether the vendor of a, in this state, warrant	
the title, according to the law of the state to	8
which he is removed. Volant vs. Lambert,	556
2 The warranty is not limited to title with posses-	300
sion. Same case,	id.
3 But extends to cases where possession is lost,	44
and the vendee is driven to a suit. Same	Sel-4
case,	id.
4 The article of the constitution of the United	1
States, relating to forfeitures, does not ex-	
tend to a case in which property is claimed in	
a slave, according to the laws of a state to	2
which he is removed. Same case,	id.
to be being garrant that by the term were and	4
SOUS SEING PRIVE'.	
An act, accompanied by possession, has effect	94.
against third persons. Roulin vs. Sabatier	
& al.'s syndics,	429
When the act is not made double, it is a com-	at A
mencement of proof in writing. Pignatel vs.	and .
Drouet,	432
3 A commencement of proof in writing, is pre-	-
sented by every instrument, which requires	- 44
proof by parol evidence. Same case,	id,
4 In a written agreement for the sale of land, parol	
proof of its genuineness may be given, tho' it	
Vol. VI. N. S 95	id,

5 A sale of land, by private act, is valid, though the parties promise to have an authentic act executed, and this be not done. Same case, id.

#### STIPULATION.

- 1 A third party may enforce a, in his favour.

  Flower vs. Lane & al.
- 2 Such a stipulation, in consideration of his services, may be altered by the parties, provided he, be not thereby injured, in regard to these services. Thompson vs. Linton & al.

#### SURETY.

On a twelve-months' bond, cannot be discharged on the ground of the unconstitutionality of the law under which it was taken. Bradford vs. Skillman,

#### SURVEYOR.

128

His operations cannot derogate from claims recognised by the officers of the U.S. Latiolais vs. Richard,

#### TRUSTEE.

Authorised, under the common law, to receive interest on a hond, and pay it over, may, on the failure of the obligor, lend the money to others. Morgan & al. vs. their creditors,

#### WIDOW.

The exception in favour of a, become so during her minority, with regard to estates inherited from her children, is unrepealed. Duncan's ex'r, vs. Hampton.

# Comment of Will will be to the

프로젝트
1 A trifling variance between the words spoken by
the testator, and those written by the notary.
is not fatal. Hamilton vs. Hamilton & al. 148
2 The clause declaratory of the testator's sanity,
is a mere formula. Same case, id,
3 The notary may ask him in what manner he
wishes to dispose of his property. Same case, id.
4 It is a fatal objection to a will, offered as an au
thentic one, that it contains no clause declar-
ing it was written by the notary. Masse's
heirs vs. Pierre & al. 263
8 On the allowance of the objection, the faculty of
presenting it as a will under private signature
will not be reserved to the party. Same case, id.
• When the right of a legatee is disputed, he may
bring suit to establish it. Wooter vs. Tur-
ner, 443
7 Although he cannot have judgment for a spe-
cific sum, until the estate be settled. Same
case, id.
8 And the facts may be tried by a jury. Same
case, id,
9 A will need not be proven in this state, when
used merely as evidence of title. Johnson
vs. Rannels, 62)
* 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
WITNESS.
1 Although a party, against whom a witness is

Although a party, against whom a witness is examined on interrogatories, be not entitled to cross-examine, the adverse party may consent to his doing so. Gill vs. Jett, 275



2 And if he do, and give notice of time and place,	STATE OF THE PARTY OF
he may not examine elsewhere, or on another	2000
day. Same case, - i	į
3 An attorney is a good witness, when not called	
on to disclose what came to his knowledge	
when consulted in his professional capacity.	
Reeves & al. Benton & al. 28	Š
4 In testing the competency of a witness, the main	Service Service
question is, whether the judgment may be	19441
given in evidence against him. Same case,	d
5 He who testifies against his interest, is a good	Mary Trees
witness. L'Evesque vs. Anderson, 29	X
A witness is not to be rej cted, because he is a	
creditor of the defendant's ancestor. Thomp-	A
son vs. Chauveau & al	Ī
7 Nor because, being a mortgagee of the land	
bought by the plaintiff, he received his debt	
from him and gave a release. Same case,	d
8 The defendant on a f. fa. whose land was sold,	- Mari
not a good witness in a suit for a rescision.	100
Same ease,	Ì.
9 When the witness, who states a fact that renders	1
him incompetent, states others which restore	
him to competency, he is to be heard. M'Mic-	Į.
ken vs. Fair.	I
	Control or
	200

